	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/517,385	LARSEN, ULRIK	DARLING		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CEDRIC CHAN	1797			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>				
(1) <u>CEDRIC CHAN</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>MR. ANDREW TELESZ</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>26 March 2010</u>	Time: <u>10:00am</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)				
,					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:  n/a					
Claims discussed: claim 29					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:					
Examiner confirmed with Mr. Telesz that Applicants are agreeal Amendment to include the phrase "directly to" to describe the flu					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance		
/Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797 /C. C./					
Examiner, Art Unit 1797	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				